arXiv:hep-th/0301001v2 7 Nov 2003

PRECANONICAL QUANTIZATION OF YANG-MILLS FIELDS AND THE FUNCTIONAL SCHRÖDINGER REPRESENTATION

Igor V. Kanatchikov*†
Institut für Theoretische Physik, Freie Universität Berlin,
Arnimallee 14, D-14195 Berlin, Germany

Abstract

Precanonical quantization of pure Yang-Mills fields, which is based on the covariant De Donder-Weyl (DW) Hamiltonian formulation, and its connection with the functional Schrödinger representation in the temporal gauge are discussed. The mass gap problem is related to a finite dimensional spectral problem for a generalized Clifford-valued magnetic Schrödinger operator which represents the DW Hamiltonian operator.

Key words: Yang-Mills theory, De Donder-Weyl formalism, precanonical quantization, Clifford algebra, functional Schrödinger representation, magnetic Schrödinger operator, mass gap.

1 Introduction

The problem of quantum Yang-Mills theory has been extensively discussed for more than three decades resulting in such breakthroughs as the asymptotic freedom, the renormalizability proof, the Faddeev-Popov technique, the BRST symmetry, the Seiberg-Witten theory, the duality and others. However, some of the fundamental issues such as the mathematically satisfactory definition of quantum Yang-Mills theory, the confinement problem and the existence of the mass gap are still not properly understood. It is therefore desirable to explore the potential of new approaches in solving these problems.

A new approach of *precanonical* quantization of fields which is based on a manifestly covariant (space-time symmetric) version of the Hamiltonian formalism in field theory [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10] has been proposed recently in our papers [11,12,13,14,15]. It is conceptually different from the standard picture of quantum field theory as an infinite dimensional quantum mechanics. Instead, precanonical quantization is based on the representation of classical fields as multi-parameter generalized Hamiltonian systems in the sense that all space-time variables enter on equal footing as analogues of the time

---

[*] On leave from Tallinn Technical University, Tallinn, Estonia.

[†] *E-mail:* ikanat@physik.fu-berlin.de.

variable in Hamiltonian mechanics. This guarantees the manifest covariance of the formulation. The corresponding analogue of the configuration space is a bundle of field variables over the space-time; the classical field configurations are sections of this bundle. The wave functions of quantum fields are functions on this bundle, not functionals of its sections. Quantization of the abovementioned generalized Hamiltonian systems representing field theories leads to a multi-parameter, Clifford-algebraic generalization of quantum mechanics to field theory which reduces to the familiar complex Hilbert space quantum mechanics in the case of (0+1)–dimensional space-time whose corresponding Clifford algebra is the algebra of the complex numbers.

The manifest covariance of the formulation and the finite dimensionality of the analogue of the configuration space are the obvious advantages of the precanonical approach to field quantization. However, the relations of this description of quantum fields to the standard techniques and notions of quantum field theory are not yet fully understood. An exception is a connection between precanonical quantization and the functional Schrödinger representation found in [16].

In this paper our aim is to consider precanonical quantization of pure Yang-Mills theory and to demonstrate its connection with the functional Schrödinger representation of quantum Yang-Mills theory. As a by-product, the precanonical approach is argued to relate the mass gap problem in pure Yang-Mills theory to a finite dimensional spectral problem for a generalized (Clifford-valued) magnetic Schrödinger operator in the space of gauge potentials.

2 The De Donder-Weyl Hamiltonian formulation of Yang-Mills theory

The Lagrangian density of pure Yang-Mills theory is given by

$$L = -\frac{1}{4} F_{a\mu\nu} F^{a\mu\nu}, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$F^a_{\mu\nu} := \partial_\mu A^a_\nu - \partial_\nu A^a_\mu + g C^a{}_{bc} A^b_\mu A^c_\nu, \tag{2.2}$$

g is the Yang-Mills self-coupling constant and C_{abc} are totally antisymmetric structure constants which fulfill the Jacobi identity

$$C^e{}_{ab} C^d{}_{ec} + C^e{}_{bc} C^d{}_{ea} + C^e{}_{ca} C^d{}_{eb} = 0.$$

Following the De Donder-Weyl (DW) Hamiltonian formulation [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10] we define the polymomenta

$$\pi^{\nu\mu}_a := \frac{\partial L}{\partial(\partial_\mu A^a_\nu)} = -\partial^\mu A^\nu_a + \partial^\nu A^\mu_a - g C_{abc} A^b_\mu A^c_\nu = -F^{\mu\nu}_a, \tag{2.3}$$

and the DW Hamiltonian

$$H = \pi^{\nu\mu}_a \partial_\mu A^a_\nu - L = -\frac{1}{4} \pi_{a\mu\nu} \pi^{a\mu\nu} + \frac{g}{2} C^a{}_{bc} A^b_\mu A^c_\nu \pi^{\mu\nu}_a. \tag{2.4}$$

Then the Yang-Mills field equations take the DW Hamiltonian form:

$$\partial_\mu \pi_a^{\nu\mu} \;=\; -\frac{\partial H}{\partial A_\nu^a} \;=\; -g\, C_{abc} A_\mu^b \pi_c^{\nu\mu}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\partial_{[\mu} A_{\nu]}^a \;=\; \frac{\partial H}{\partial \pi_a^{\nu\mu}} \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\pi_{\mu\nu}^a - \frac{1}{2} g\, C_{bc}^a A_\mu^b A_\nu^c. \tag{2.6}$$

The antisymmetrization in the left hand side of the second equation makes the DW Hamiltonian equations consistent with the primary constraints

$$\pi_a^{\mu\nu} + \pi_a^{\nu\mu} \approx 0 \tag{2.7}$$

which follow from (2.3). It ensures the gauge invariance of (2.6). Note that instead of attempting to generalize the techniques of the constrained dynamics to the DW Hamiltonian formulation, in this paper the constraints (2.7) are taken into account heuristically.

An earlier consideration of classical Yang-Mills fields using the closely related multisymplectic framework can be found in [17]. After the present paper has been accepted for publication the paper by López and Marsden has appeared [18] which studies geometrical issues related to the constrained dynamics for the DW Hamiltonian formulation of gauge theories.

3 Precanonical quantization of Yang-Mills theory

The Poisson-Gerstenhaber brackets underlying precanonical quantization of this section, which also enable us to represent the DW Hamiltonian formulation of Yang-Mills fields, eqs. (2.5), (2.6), in Poisson bracket form, can be obtained from the reduced polysymplectic form (c.f. [18])

$$\Omega := d\pi_a^{\mu\nu} \wedge dA_{[\mu}^a \wedge \omega_{\nu]} \tag{3.1}$$

using the techniques of [2, 3]. However, we leave the details of this construction beyond the scope of the present paper.

According to the prescriptions of precanonical quantization [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16] we set

$$\hat{\pi}_a^{\nu\mu} = -i\hbar\varkappa\gamma^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial A_\nu^a}, \tag{3.2}$$

where γ^μ are the generating elements of the space-time Clifford algebra. However, this expression is not consistent with the constraints (2.7). Let us take the latter into account as the constraints on the physical quantum states

$$\hat{\pi}_a^{(\nu\mu)}\, |\Psi\rangle^{\,phys} = 0, \tag{3.3}$$

that implies $\langle\, \hat{\pi}_a^{(\nu\mu)}\, \rangle = 0$ in accordance with (2.7). From (2.4) we obtain the DW Hamiltonian operator

$$\widehat{H} = \frac{1}{2}\hbar^2\varkappa^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial A_a^\mu \partial A_\mu^a} - \frac{1}{2} i g\hbar\varkappa C^a{}_{bc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \gamma^\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu^a}\ , \tag{3.4}$$

or symbolically,

$$\widehat{H} = \frac{1}{2}\hbar^2\varkappa^2\partial_{AA} - \frac{1}{2}ig\hbar\varkappa CA\not{A}\,\partial_A.$$

The quantum states are represented by Clifford-valued wave functions $\Psi(A^\mu_a, x^\nu)$

$$\Psi = \psi + \psi_\mu\gamma^\mu + \frac{1}{2!}\psi_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{\mu\nu} + ... \tag{3.5}$$

which fulfill the covariant Schrödinger equation proposed in [11, 12, 13]

$$i\hbar\varkappa\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\Psi = \widehat{H}\Psi. \tag{3.6}$$

Note that the issue of gauge invariance is not directly relevant at this stage because it is related to the sections $A^\nu_a(x)$ of the field bundle over space-time rather than to the fiber coordinates A^ν_a of this bundle which appear in (3.2), (3.4).

It should be empasized that the DW Hamiltonian operator of pure Yang-Mills theory, eq. (3.4), is not a scalar quantity as e.g. in the case of scalar field theory [11, 3, 13, 16]. It can be decomposed into two parts:

$$\widehat{H} = \widehat{H}^0 + \widehat{H}^1, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\widehat{H}^0$ is the free scalar part and $\widehat{H}^1 =: \widehat{H}_\mu\gamma^\mu$ is the matrix interaction part. The presence of the latter term necessitates the use of a general Clifford-valued wave function (3.5) instead of a simple wave function of the type $\Psi = \psi + \psi_\nu\gamma^\nu$ which is sufficient in the theories with a scalar DW Hamiltonian operator.

To see it let us write the covariant Schrödinger equation (3.6) componentwise assuming $\psi_{\mu\nu} = 0$. Here we set for simplicity $\hbar\varkappa = 1$. From (3.6) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial_\mu\psi^\mu &= \widehat{H}^0\psi + \widehat{H}_\mu\psi^\mu, && (3.8)\\ i\partial_\mu\psi &= \widehat{H}^0\psi_\mu + \widehat{H}_\mu\psi, && (3.9)\\ i\partial_{[\mu}\psi_{\nu]} &= \widehat{H}_{[\mu}\psi_{\nu]}. && (3.10) \end{aligned}$$

If $\widehat{H}_\mu = 0$ and there are no external fields, i.e. $\partial_\mu\widehat{H} = 0$, then (3.10) is the integrability condition of (3.9). Consequently, the higher antisymmetric components of Ψ decouple and it is sufficient to consider the wave functions of the type $\Psi = \psi + \psi_\nu\gamma^\nu$.

If $\widehat{H}_\mu \neq 0$ and $\partial_\mu\widehat{H} = 0$ the integrability condition of (3.9) takes the form

$$\widehat{H}^0 i\partial_{[\mu}\psi_{\nu]} - \widehat{H}_{[\mu}\widehat{H}^0\psi_{\nu]} - \widehat{H}_{[\mu}\widehat{H}_{\nu]}\psi = 0,$$

i.e.

$$\widehat{H}^0(i\partial_{[\mu}\psi_{\nu]} - \widehat{H}_{[\mu}\psi_{\nu]}) + [\widehat{H}^0, \widehat{H}_{[\mu}]\psi_{\nu]} - \frac{1}{2}[\widehat{H}_\mu, \widehat{H}_\nu]\psi = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

Using the antisymmetry of C_{abc} we can prove that

$$[\widehat{H}^0, \widehat{H}_\mu] = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

However, the Jacobi identity and the antisymmetry of C_{abc} yield

$$[\widehat{H}_\mu, \widehat{H}_\nu] = C^e{}_{cf} C^d{}_{eb} A^b_l A^c_{[\mu} A^f_{\nu]} \frac{\partial}{\partial A^d_l} \neq 0. \tag{3.13}$$

Therefore, (3.10) is no longer the integrability condition of (3.9) and the assumption $\psi_{\mu\nu} = 0$ turn out to be too restrictive. Thus, in the case of Yang-Mills theory the truncation to the lower components of Ψ is not justified and the higher antisymmetric components of Ψ need to be taken into account in general.

4 A relation with the functional Schrödinger representation

In this section we explore how the seemingly unusual precanonical quantization of Yang-Mills theory is related to the familiar canonical quantization in the functional Schrödinger representation.

4.1 Canonical quantization of pure Yang-Mills theory. A reminder

Let us briefly recall the functional Schrödinger representation of Yang-Mills theory in the temporal gauge $A^a_0(x) = 0$ [19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24]. The canonical momenta are given by

$$p^i_a(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{\delta \int d\mathbf{x}\, L}{\delta(\partial_t A^a_i)(\mathbf{x})} = F^{i0}_a(\mathbf{x}), \tag{4.1}$$

and the canonical Hamiltonian functional (in the metric signature $+-...-$) is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}[A^a_i(\mathbf{x}), p^i_a(\mathbf{x})] &:= \int d\mathbf{x}\, \left(p^i_a(\mathbf{x})\partial_t A^a_i(\mathbf{x}) - L\right) \\ &= \int d\mathbf{x} \left(\frac{1}{2} p^i_a(\mathbf{x}) p^i_a(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{4} F_a{}^{ij}(\mathbf{x}) F_a{}^{ij}(\mathbf{x})\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Henceforth the bold capital letters denote functionals and the small bold letters denote the spatial components of space-time vectors, e.g. $x^\mu =: (\mathbf{x}, t)$; we also set $\hbar = 1$.

The canonical momenta are represented (in the $A(\mathbf{x})$-representation) by the operators

$$p^i_a(\mathbf{x}) = -i \frac{\delta}{\delta A^a_i(\mathbf{x})}. \tag{4.3}$$

The quantum states are given by the wave functionals $\mathbf{\Psi} = \mathbf{\Psi}([A^a_i(\mathbf{x})], t)$ which fulfill the functional differential Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t \mathbf{\Psi} = \int d\mathbf{x} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta}{\delta A^i_a(\mathbf{x})} \frac{\delta}{\delta A^i_a(\mathbf{x})} + \frac{1}{4} F_a{}^{ij}(\mathbf{x}) F_a{}^{ij}(\mathbf{x})\right) \mathbf{\Psi}. \tag{4.4}$$

The necessity for regularization arises here because of the second variational derivative at equal points. We introduce a point-splitting based on a regulator $K_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$ satisfying

$$\lim_{\epsilon \longrightarrow 0} K_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}').$$

The regularized functional Laplacian in (4.4) takes the form

$$\int d\mathbf{x} \int d\mathbf{x}'\, K_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \frac{\delta}{\delta A_a^i(\mathbf{x})} \frac{\delta}{\delta A_a^i(\mathbf{x}')} \, . \tag{4.5}$$

In addition to the Schrödinger equation the wave functional fulfills the Gauss law constraint on the physical states:

$$\left(\partial_i \frac{\delta}{\delta A_i^a(\mathbf{x})} \; + \; g C^a{}_{bc} A_i^b \frac{\delta}{\delta A_i^c(\mathbf{x})} \right) \boldsymbol{\Psi} = 0, \tag{4.6}$$

which implies that the physical wave functionals are gauge invariant under “small” (i.e. topologically trivial) time-independent gauge transformations.

4.2 The derivation of the functional Schrödinger representation from the precanonical approach

It is definitely of interest to understand how the functional Schrödinger representation of quantum Yang-Mills theory can be connected with precanonical quantization. In what follows we will show that the functional differential Schrödinger equation (4.4) can be derived from the covariant Schrödinger equation of the precanonical approach, eq. (3.6). The relation of this kind has been studied in the case of scalar field theory in [16]. Its extension to the pure Yang-Mills fields in the temporal gauge is presented below.

The basic idea is that the Schrödinger wave functional $\boldsymbol{\Psi}([A(\mathbf{x})], t)$, which represents the probability amplitude of simultaneously observing the field *configuration* $A = A(\mathbf{x})$ at the moment of time t, can be seen as a joint probability amplitude of simultaneously observing the respective *values* $A(\mathbf{x})$ at the spatial points $\mathbf{x}$ (at the moment of time t). The amplitude of observing the value $A(\mathbf{x})$ at the spatial point $\mathbf{x}$ is given by the wave function $\Psi(A, \mathbf{x}, t)$ restricted to the Cauchy surface Σ: $(A_\mu^a = A_\mu^a(\mathbf{x}),\ t = const)$ and taken at the point $\mathbf{x}$. Using the result of [16], in the temporal gauge $A_0^a(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ the corresponding composed amplitude is written as

$$\boldsymbol{\Psi}([A_i^a(\mathbf{x})], t) = tr \left\{ (1+\beta) e^{\varkappa \int d\mathbf{x} \ln \Psi_\Sigma (A_i^a(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x}, t)} \right\} =: tr \left\{ ||\boldsymbol{\Psi}|| \right\}, \tag{4.7}$$

where $\Psi_\Sigma(A(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x}, t)$ denotes the restriction of the Clifford-valued wave function $\Psi(A, x)$ to the Cauchy surface Σ. This Ansatz establishes a link between the Clifford-valued wave function appearing in precanonical quantization and the Schrödinger wave functional resulting from canonical quantization. Note that (4.7) can be expressed in terms of the product integration [25].

Let us show that using the Ansatz (4.7) we can derive the familiar functional differential Schrödinger equation of Yang-Mills theory from the covariant Schrödinger equation (3.6). For this aid let us find the Schrödinger-type equation fulfilled by the functional amplitude (4.7) taking into account the covariant Schrödinger equation obeyed by Ψ.

From (4.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial_t \mathbf{\Psi} &= tr\left\{ ||\mathbf{\Psi}||\varkappa \int d\mathbf{x}\, \Psi_\Sigma^{-1} i\partial_t \Psi_\Sigma \right\}, \qquad (4.8)\\ \frac{\delta \mathbf{\Psi}}{\delta A_a^i(\mathbf{x})} &= tr\left\{ ||\mathbf{\Psi}||\varkappa \Psi_\Sigma^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial A_a^i}\Psi_\Sigma \right\}, \qquad (4.9)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\delta^2 \mathbf{\Psi}}{\delta A_i^a(\mathbf{x})\delta A_i^a(\mathbf{x})} &= tr\Bigg\{ ||\mathbf{\Psi}|| \Bigg(\varkappa^2 \Psi_\Sigma^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_a^i}\Psi_\Sigma \Psi_\Sigma^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_a^i}\Psi_\Sigma \\ & -\varkappa\delta^{n-1}(0)\Psi_\Sigma^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_a^i}\Psi_\Sigma\Psi_\Sigma^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_a^i}\Psi_\Sigma + \varkappa\delta^{n-1}(0)\Psi_\Sigma^{-1}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial A_a^i \partial A_a^i}\Psi_\Sigma \Bigg)\Bigg\}. \qquad (4.10)\end{aligned}$$

The singularity $\delta^{n-1}(0)$ (n is the space-time dimension) arises from the second functional differentiation at equal points. The simplest regularization

$$K_\epsilon(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}') := \begin{cases} 1/\epsilon^{n-1} & \text{if} \quad |\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'| \leq \epsilon, \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad |\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'| > \epsilon \end{cases}$$

amounts to the replacement of $\delta(0)$ with the momentum space cutoff $1/\epsilon$. The latter has its counterpart in precanonical quantization as the constant $\varkappa$ which has the meaning of $1/\epsilon^{n-1}$. Under the regularization $\delta^{n-1}(0) \to \varkappa$ we obtain

$$\frac{\delta^2 \mathbf{\Psi}}{\delta A_i^a(\mathbf{x})\delta A_i^a(\mathbf{x})} = tr\left\{ ||\mathbf{\Psi}||\, \varkappa^2 \Psi_\Sigma^{-1}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial A_i^a \partial A_i^a}\Psi_\Sigma \right\}. \qquad (4.11)$$

Now, let us substitute into (4.8) the expression of $i\partial_t\Psi_\Sigma$ which one obtains from the wave equation on the restricted wave function Ψ_Σ. The latter is derived from the covariant Schrödinger equation (3.6): in the temporal gauge (i.e. by just dropping out A_0^a) we obtain

$$i\partial_t \Psi_\Sigma = -i\alpha^i \left(\frac{d}{dx^i} - \partial_{[i}A_{j]}^a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial}{\partial A_j^a}\right)\Psi_\Sigma + \beta\widehat{H}\Psi_\Sigma, \qquad (4.12)$$

where

$$\frac{d}{dx^i} := \partial_i + \partial_i A_j^a(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial}{\partial A_j^a}$$

is the total spatial derivative, and

$$\widehat{H} = -\frac{1}{2}\hbar^2\varkappa^2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial A_i^a \partial A_i^a} - \frac{ig}{2}\hbar\varkappa C^a{}_{bc}A_i^b A_j^c \gamma^j \frac{\partial}{\partial A_i^a} \qquad (4.13)$$

is the DW Hamiltonian operator in the temporal gauge, cf. (3.4). The antisymmetrization in $\partial_{[i}A_{j]}^a(\mathbf{x})$ in (4.12) is related to the fact that the symmetric part of the polymomentum operator $\sim \gamma^i\partial/\partial A_j^a$ vanishes on the physical (restricted) wave functions due to the quantum version of the constraints (2.7), eq. (3.3). In fact, due to the presence of the projector $\frac{1}{2}(1+\beta)$ in the definition of $||\mathbf{\Psi}||$ we actually use here a weaker version of (3.3):

$$\gamma^{(i}\frac{\partial}{\partial A^a_{j)}}\Psi_\Sigma(1+\beta)=0.$$

Thus, by substituting $i\partial_t\Psi_\Sigma$ from (4.12) to (4.8), discarding the total divergence term $\int d\mathbf{x}\,\Psi_\Sigma^{-1}\alpha^i\frac{d}{dx^i}\Psi_\Sigma$, and using (4.11), (4.13) we obtain

$$i\partial_t\,tr\left\{\,||\mathbf{\Psi}||\right\}=tr\left\{||\widehat{\mathbf{H}}||\,||\mathbf{\Psi}||\right\},\tag{4.14}$$

where the matrix-valued Hamiltonian operator is

$$\begin{aligned}||\widehat{\mathbf{H}}|| \;=\; \int d\mathbf{x}\,\Bigg(&-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\delta^2}{\delta A^a_i(\mathbf{x})\delta A^a_i(\mathbf{x})}-\frac{ig}{2}C^a{}_{bc}A^b_i(\mathbf{x})A^c_j(\mathbf{x})\gamma^j\frac{\delta}{\delta A^a_i(\mathbf{x})}\\ &+i\partial_{[i}A^a_{j]}(\mathbf{x})\gamma^i\frac{\delta}{\delta A^a_j(\mathbf{x})}\Bigg).\end{aligned}\tag{4.15}$$

In order to understand the relation of the matrix Hamiltonian $||\widehat{\mathbf{H}}||$ with the Schrödinger picture Hamiltonian (4.4) let us consider a unitary transformation of $||\widehat{\mathbf{H}}||$

$$\widehat{\mathbf{H}}'=e^{-i\mathbf{N}}||\widehat{\mathbf{H}}||e^{i\mathbf{N}},\tag{4.16}$$

where $\mathbf{N}$ is a functional operator. By a straightforward calculation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}e^{-i\mathbf{N}}||\widehat{\mathbf{H}}||e^{i\mathbf{N}} \;=\; &\frac{1}{2}\int d\mathbf{x}\,\Bigg(-\frac{\delta^2 i\mathbf{N}}{\delta^2 A(\mathbf{x})}-\left(\frac{\delta i\mathbf{N}}{\delta A(\mathbf{x})}\right)^2-2\frac{\delta i\mathbf{N}}{\delta A(\mathbf{x})}\frac{\delta}{\delta A(\mathbf{x})}-\frac{\delta^2}{\delta^2 A(\mathbf{x})}\\ &+2e^{-i\mathbf{N}}\left[-\frac{ig}{2}C^a{}_{bc}A^b_j(\mathbf{x})A^c_i(\mathbf{x})+i\partial_{[i}A^a_{j]}(\mathbf{x})\right]\gamma^i e^{i\mathbf{N}}\left(\frac{\delta i\mathbf{N}}{\delta A^a_j(\mathbf{x})}+\frac{\delta}{\delta A^a_j(\mathbf{x})}\right)\Bigg).\end{aligned}\tag{4.17}$$

The condition that the transformed Hamiltonian $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}'$ contains no terms with the first order functional derivatives yields

$$\frac{\delta\mathbf{N}}{\delta A^j_a(\mathbf{x})}=\gamma^i(\partial_{[i}A^a_{j]}(\mathbf{x})-\frac{g}{2}C^a{}_{bc}A^b_j(\mathbf{x})A^c_i(\mathbf{x}))=\frac{1}{2}\gamma^i F^a_{ij}(\mathbf{x}),\tag{4.18}$$

whence it follows

$$\frac{\delta^2\mathbf{N}}{\delta^2 A(\mathbf{x})}\sim\gamma^i\partial_i\,\delta(0)=0,\tag{4.19}$$

$$\left(\frac{\delta\mathbf{N}}{\delta A(\mathbf{x})}\right)^2=-\frac{1}{4}F^a_{ij}F^a_{ij}.\tag{4.20}$$

Therefore, with the aid of the transformation (4.16), where $\mathbf{N}$ is a solution of (4.18), the matrix-valued Hamiltonian $||\widehat{\mathbf{H}}||$ is transformed to the Schrödinger picture Hamiltonian operator of Yang-Mills theory:

$$\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_S = \int d\mathbf{x} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\delta}{\delta A^i_a(\mathbf{x})}\frac{\delta}{\delta A^i_a(\mathbf{x})} + \frac{1}{4}F^a_{ij}(\mathbf{x})F^a_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \right). \tag{4.21}$$

Correspondingly, the Schrödinger picture wave functional is given in terms of the Ansatz (4.7) as follows:

$$\mathbf{\Psi}_S = tr\left\{ e^{-i\mathbf{N}} ||\mathbf{\Psi}|| \right\}. \tag{4.22}$$

Now, let recall that by eliminating A^a_0 in (4.12) we actually lost the information of the $\nu = 0$ component of the DW Hamiltonian equations (2.5), which is the Gauss law. In order to restore it, we have to require that $\mathbf{\Psi}_S$ is invariant under the gauge transformations of $A^a_i(\mathbf{x})$. As we have already noticed, this automatically leads to the Gauss law constraint (4.6).

Thus, the total set of equations of the functional Schrödinger representation of pure Yang-Mills theory in the temporal gauge is derived from the precanonical approach.

Let us note that eq. (4.18) is formal because the second functional differentiation in (4.15) is formal and requires a regularization. A regulator function $K_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$ will appear then in the right hand side of (4.18) as $\int d\mathbf{x}' \, K_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \, \delta\mathbf{N}/\delta A(\mathbf{x}')$, thus making the transformation operator $\mathbf{N}$ explicitly depending on the regulator. In the unregularized case $K_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')$ there are no solutions to (4.18) in the class of single-valued functionals of one argument $A^a_i(\mathbf{x})$.[1]

5 On the spectrum of pure Yang-Mills theory

The spectrum of a DW Hamiltonian divided by $\varkappa$ is related to the mass spectrum of the corresponding theory [12, 13] (prior to renormalization which is supposed to remove $\varkappa$ from the physical results of the theory [27]). For example, for a free scalar field the DW Hamiltonian operator

$$\widehat{H} = -\frac{1}{2}\hbar^2\varkappa^2\partial_{\phi\phi} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{m^2}{\hbar^2}\phi^2$$

has a discrete spectrum

$$\chi_N = \varkappa m(N + \frac{1}{2}).$$

The physical particles are related to the transitions between these stationary states. Those are only possible for $\Delta N = \pm 1$. Thus, the mass of a physical particle in this theory equals to m. This example demonstrates that the spectrum of the DW Hamiltonian operator of the Yang-Mills field contains information about the mass gap in quantum Yang-Mills theory.

---

[1] In [16] this issue was formally treated in the case of scalar field theory by resorting to the solution for $\mathbf{N}$ in terms of a functional of two arguments. The present treatment which recalls the necessity of regularization seems to be more satisfactory.

The spectrum of the DW Hamiltonian (3.4) is not easy to analyze because of the matrix interaction term $CA\not{A}\,\partial_A$. In this section we show that there exists a formal transformation of $\widehat{H}$:

$$\widehat{H}' = e^{-i\hat{N}}\widehat{H}e^{i\hat{N}} \tag{5.1}$$

such that the term $CA\not{A}\,\partial_A$ is transformed to a more familiar scalar potential term.

For the transformed DW Hamiltonian we obtain (in the symbolic notation, for short)

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{H}' &= \frac{\hbar^2\varkappa^2}{2}\partial_{AA} + \frac{\hbar^2\varkappa^2}{2}\partial_{AA}(iN) + \frac{\hbar^2\varkappa^2}{2}\partial_A(iN)\partial_A(iN) + \hbar^2\varkappa^2\partial_A(iN)\partial_A \\ &\quad -e^{-i\hat{N}}(\frac{ig\hbar\varkappa}{2}CA\not{A})\partial_A(iN)e^{i\hat{N}} - e^{-i\hat{N}}(\frac{ig\hbar\varkappa}{2}CA\not{A})e^{i\hat{N}}\partial_A. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

If we wish to transform away the ∂_A terms from the DW Hamiltonian, the operator $\hat{N}$ has to fulfill the equation

$$\hbar\varkappa\frac{\partial}{\partial A^\mu_a}N = \frac{1}{2}gC^a{}_{bc}A^b_\mu A^c_\sigma\gamma^\sigma, \tag{5.3}$$

whence it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_A(iN)\partial_A(iN) &= -\frac{1}{4}g^2CAACAA, \\ igCA\not{A}\,\partial_A(iN) &= -\frac{1}{2}g^2CAACAA, \\ \partial_{AA}N &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

the latter being a consequence of the total antisymmetry of C_{abc}. Then the transformed Hamiltonian takes the form

$$\widehat{H}' = \frac{1}{2}\hbar^2\varkappa^2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial A^\mu_a\partial A^a_\mu} + \frac{1}{8}g^2C^a{}_{bc}A^b_\mu A^c_\nu C_{ade}A^{d\mu}A^{e\nu}. \tag{5.5}$$

In the temporal gauge $A^a_0 = 0$ the transformed DW Hamiltonian assumes the form

$$\widehat{H}' = -\frac{1}{2}\hbar^2\varkappa^2\frac{\partial}{\partial A^a_i\partial A^a_i} + \frac{1}{8}g^2C^a{}_{bc}C_{ade}A^b_iA^c_jA^d_iA^e_j. \tag{5.6}$$

Note that it admits a factorization

$$\widehat{H}' = -\frac{1}{2}Q^{\dagger a}_{\ i}Q^a_i \tag{5.7}$$

with

$$Q^a_i = i\hbar\varkappa\frac{\partial}{\partial A^a_i} - \frac{1}{2}gC^a_{bc}A^b_iA^c_j\gamma^j,$$

that might be a starting point of an analytic study based on the multidimensional Darboux transformation technique [28].

The operator (5.6) is known to have purely discrete spectrum bounded from below [26]. Hence, the discreteness of the spectrum of $\widehat{H}'$ together with the connection between precanonical quantization of Yang-Mills theory and the standart canonical quantization in the functional Schrödinger representation may point to the existence of the mass gap in quantum pure Yang-Mills theory.

However, the problem with this argumentation is that the right hand side of (5.3) is not a gradient in the A-space. As a result the solution of (5.3) can be understood only as a functional

$$N(A,[\mathcal{C}]) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{g}{\hbar\varkappa}\int_{\mathcal{C}_{[A_0,A]}} C^a{}_{bc}A^b_\mu A^c_\sigma \gamma^\sigma dA^\mu_a \tag{5.8}$$

which depends on a path $\mathcal{C}_{[A_0,A]}$ in the A-space connecting an arbitrary "initial" point A_0 with the point A. The corresponding transformation (5.1) with a non-single-valued path-dependent N given by (5.9) does *not* preserve the spectrum.

This situation is similar to the case of the Schrödinger operator with the magnetic field, $\widehat{H}_{V,\mathbf{A}}$, which can be transformed to a purely electric Schrödinger operator $\widehat{H}_{V,\mathbf{0}}$ using a path-dependent transformation similar to (5.1) with $N \sim \int_C \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x})\cdot d\mathbf{x}$ [29], the spectrum of $\widehat{H}_{V,\mathbf{0}}$ being different from the spectrum of $\widehat{H}_{V,\mathbf{A}}$. Nevertheless, though this transformation does not preserve the spectrum, the presence of the magnetic field, i.e. the availability of the path-dependent transformation, in general is known to promote the discreteness of the spectrum: if $\widehat{H}_{V,\mathbf{0}}$ has a discrete spectrum then the same is true for $\widehat{H}_{V,\mathbf{A}}$ whatever the magnetic potential $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x})$ [30].

Now, let us note that the original DW Hamiltonian in the temporal gauge, eq. (4.13), can be rewritten in the form of the Schrödinger operator with both an "electric" $\mathcal{U}(A)$ and a "magnetic" $\mathcal{A}(A)$ field in the A-space:

$$\widehat{H} = \widehat{H}_{\mathcal{U},\mathcal{A}} := \frac{1}{2}(-i\hbar\varkappa\partial_A + \mathcal{A}(A))^2 + \mathcal{U}(A), \tag{5.9}$$

where $\mathcal{A}(A) := \frac{1}{2}gCA\not{A}$ and $\mathcal{U}(A) := \frac{1}{8}g^2CAACAA$. The transformation (5.1), (5.9) to the purely "electric" Hamiltonian (5.5) just transfers the contribution of the Clifford-valued "magnetic" field $\mathcal{A}(A)$ in (5.10) to the path-dependent factor e^{iN}. By analogy with the properties of the standard magnetic Schrödinger operator, it could be expected that the discreteness of the spectrum of (5.6) is a sufficient condition for the discreteness of the spectrum of (5.10) and, therefore, (4.13).

However, this statement essentially relies on the extrapolation of the relevant theorems about the standart magnetic Schrödinger operator, which are proven using the properties of the Hilbert space of complex-valued functions, to the operator (5.10) with a Clifford-valued "magnetic" field, whose study should necessarily involve a corresponding Hilbert space theory of Clifford-valued functions. The validity of this extrapolation is not obvious and requires a separate study which needs a well established functional analysis of Clifford-valued functions and operators. Note that in pseudo-euclidean space the latter can be problematic because the natural scalar product

$$\langle\Psi,\Psi\rangle = \int [dA]\,\left(\overline{\psi}\psi + \overline{\psi}_\mu\psi^\mu + \overline{\psi}_{\mu\nu}\psi^{\mu\nu} + ...\right), \tag{5.10}$$

where $[dA]$ is a measure in the space of Yang-Mills potentials, is not positive definite.

In conclusion, let us note that the precanonical framework seems to represent a foundation of field quantization that is better defined mathematically than canonical or path integral quantization, which are known to require ad hoc regularizations and involve mathematical constructions whose rigorous definition is often problematic. We have essentially demonstrated that the (unregularized) functional Schrödinger representation resulting from the standard canonical quantization is a singular limit $\varkappa \rightarrow \delta^{n-1}(0)$ of precanonical quantization. In addition, precanonical quantization enabled us to relate the mass gap problem for quantum Yang-Mills theory to the spectral problem for the magnetic Schrödinger operator with a Clifford-valued "magnetic" field in the space of Yang-Mills potentials.

Acknowledgements: I express my gratitude to Prof. R. Schrader for his kind hospitality at the FU Berlin and a partial financial support and to Dr. S. Davis for his useful remarks concerning the text of the manuscript. I also thank Prof. A. Wipf, Dr. T. Strobl, Prof. R. Picken and Prof. S. B. Leble for useful discussions during my visits to their Institutes.

References

[1] M.J. Gotay, J. Isenberg and J. Marsden, *Momentum maps and classical relativistic fields*, Part I: Covariant field theory, `physics/9801019` (and the references therein).

[2] I.V. Kanatchikov, Canonical structure of classical field theory in the polymomentum phase space, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **41** (1998) 49-90, `hep-th/9709229`.

[3] I.V. Kanatchikov, On field theoretic generalizations of a Poisson algebra, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **40** (1997) 225-34, `hep-th/9710069`.

[4] M. Forger, C. Paufler, H. Römer, The Poisson bracket for Poisson forms in multisymplectic field theory, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **15** (2003) No. 7, `hep-th/0202043`.

[5] A. Echeverría-Enríquez, M.C. Muñoz-Lecanda and N. Roman-Roy, Geometry of multisymplectic Hamiltonian first-order field theories, *J. Math. Phys.* **41** (2000) 7402–44, `math-ph/0004005`.

[6] G. Giachetta, L. Mangiarotti and G. Sardanashvily, *New Lagrangian and Hamiltonian Methods in Field Theory*, World Scientific, Singapore 1997.

[7] L.K. Norris, n-symplectic algebra of observables in covariant Lagrangian field theory, *J. Math. Phys.* **42** (2001) 4827–4845.

[8] M. de León, M. McLean, L. K. Norris, A. Rey-Roca, M. Salgado, Geometric structures in field theory, `math-ph/0208036` (and the references therein).

[9] F. Hélein, J. Kouneiher, Covariant Hamiltonian formalism for the calculus of variations with several variables, `math-ph/0211046`.

[10] Th. De Donder, *Théorie Invariantive du Calcul des Variations,* Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1935); H. Weyl, Geodesic fields in the calculus of variations, *Ann. Math. (2)* **36**, 607–29 (1935); H. Rund, "*The Hamilton-Jacobi Theory in the Calculus of Variations*", D. van Nostrand, Toronto (1966).

[11] I.V. Kanatchikov, Toward the Born-Weyl quantization of fields, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **37** (1998) 333-42, `quant-ph/9712058`.

[12] I.V. Kanatchikov, De Donder-Weyl theory and a hypercomplex extension of quantum mechanics to field theory, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **43** (1999) 157-70, `hep-th/9810165`.

[13] I.V. Kanatchikov, On quantization of field theories in polymomentum variables, in: *Particles, Fields and Gravitation,* (Proc. Int. Conf. Łódź, Poland, Apr. 1998) ed. J. Rembielinski, *AIP Conf. Proc.* vol. **453**, p. 356-67, Amer. Inst. Phys., Woodbury (NY) 1998, `hep-th/9811016`.

[14] I.V. Kanatchikov, Precanonical quantum gravity: quantization without the space-time decomposition, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **40** (2001) 1121-49, `gr-qc/0012074`.

[15] I.V. Kanatchikov, Geometric (pre)quantization in the polysymplectic approach to field theory, `hep-th/0112263`.

[16] I.V. Kanatchikov, Precanonical quantization and the Schrödinger wave functional, *Phys. Lett.* **A283** (2001) 25-36, `hep-th/0301001`.

[17] W. Kondracki, Geometrization of the classical field theory and its application in Yang-Mills field theory, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **16** (1979) 9-47;
J. Kijowski and G. Rudolph, Canonical structure of the theory of gauge fiels interacting with matter fields, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **20** (1984) 385-400.

[18] M.C. López and J.E. Marsden, Some remarks on Lagrangian and Poisson reduction for field theories, *J. Geom. Phys.* **48** (2003) 52-83.

[19] R. P. Feynman, The qualitative behavior of Yang-Mills theory in $2 + 1$ dimensions, *Nucl. Phys.* **B188** (1981) 479-512.

[20] B.F. Hatfield, Gauge invariant regularization of Yang-Mills wave functions, *Phys. Lett.* **154B** (1985) 296-302.

[21] M. Lüscher, R. Narayanan, P. Weisz, U. Wolff, The Schrödinger functional - a renormalizable probe for non-abelian theories, *Nucl. Phys.* **B384** (1992) 168-228.

[22] G.C. Rossi, M. Testa, The structure of Yang-Mills theories in the temporal gauge I-III, *Nucl. Phys.* **B163** (1980) 109, ibid. **B176** (1980) 477, ibid. **B237** (1984) 442.

[23] B. Hatfield, *Quantum Field Theory of Point Particles and Strings,* Reading MA: Addison-Wesley (1992).

[24] P. Mansfield, M. Sampaio, Yang-Mills beta function from the large distance expansion of the Schrödinger functional, *Nucl. Phys.* **B545** (1999) 623-655, `hep-th/9807163`.

[25] J. D. Dollard, C.N. Friedman, *Product Integration*, Reading MA: Addison-Wesley (1979).

[26] B. Simon, Some quantum operators with discrete spectrum but classically continuous spectrum, *Ann. Phys.* **146** (1983) 209-20.

[27] I.V. Kanatchikov, work in progress.

[28] A. Gonzalez-Lopez, N. Kamran, The multidimensional Darboux transformation, *J. Geom. Phys.* **26** (1998) 202-226, `hep-th/9612100`.

[29] P.A.M. Dirac, Quantized singularities in the electromagnetic field, *Proc. Roy. Soc. (London)* **A133** (1931) 60-72.

[30] J. Avron, I. Herbst, B. Simon, Schrödinger operators with magnetic fields, I. General interactions, *Duke Math. J.* **45** (1978) 847-883;
V. Kondrat'ev, M. Shubin, Discreteness of spectrum for the magnetic Schrödinger operators I. *Comm. Part. Diff. Eqs.* **27** (2002) 477-525, `math.SP/0007111`.